



The Dialogue Derby 2026

Inter – School Panel Competition

Result: *Schools selected for Final round at NUIC*

School	Teacher	Student 1	Student 2
1. มัธยมสาธิต มหาวิทยาลัยนเรศวร Naresuan University Secondary Demonstration	Mr. Kim Patrick Brucal Abe	น.ส.ญาณัจฉรา ดวงเงิน Ms. Yanutchara DOUNGNGEN	นายวีรภัทร พจนานูวัตร Mr. Weerapat Potjananuwat
2. วัดโบสถ์ศึกษา Watbotsuksa	นายสหัสรัฐ คงสน Mr. Saharat Khongsom	นายปัญจพัฒน์ มีทั้ง Mr. Panchapat Methang	นางสาวพิชญาภา สีโสพล Ms. Phitchayapha Seesophon
3. กำแพงเพชรพิทยาคม Kamphaengphet Pittayakom	นางสาวพัชยา ศิริวัฒน์ Ms. Patchaya Siriwat	นายฐิติวัชร สิงห์โต Mr. Titiwut Singto	นางสาวปภาวรินทร์ วิเศษ Ms. Paphawarin Viset
4. ตะพานหิน Taphanhin จังหวัดพิจิตร	นายอนุสิทธิ์ คงรอด Mr. Anusit Khongrod	นางสาวกัญญาณัฐ ยินดีมาก Ms. Kanyanat Yindeemak	นางสาวกรวรรณ อภัยลุน Ms. Korawan Apailoon
5. นครสวรรค์ Nakhonsawan School	นางสาววรรณภา สิงห์ลอ Ms. Wannapha Singlor	นายปณณ โอประเสริฐสวัสดิ์ Mr. Punn Oprasertsawat	นางสาวบุญรักษา วงศ์ มณีวรรณ Ms. Bunraksa Wongmaneewan
6. สวรรค์อนันต์วิทยา Sawanananwittaya จังหวัดสุโขทัย	นางจิตติพร คชไกร Mrs. Jittiporn Kochakrai	นางสาวชนิสรา ชมภูเครือ Ms. Chanisara Chomphukhruea	นายพัทธดนย์ จัคดี Mr. Phatthadon Jaddee

School	Teacher	Student 1	Student 2
7. พิจิตรพิทยาคม Phichitpittayakom	นายพัชรพงษ์ จันทน์เทศ Mr. Patcharapong Chanted	Ms. Phatcharaphon Kreeinthong	นายปณณวิชัย เกตุแก้ว Mr. Pannawit Ketkaew
8. พิษณุโลกพิทยาคม Phitsanulok Pittayakom	นายขวัญชัย ตระกูลเชิดชูชัย Mr. Khwanchai Trakulchertchuchai	นาย สุวิศิษฐ์ เจริญไทย Mr. Suwisit Charoenthai	นาย วณัฐ ภาศเกษม Mr. Wanaphu katkasem
9. บ้านกลางพิทยาคม Banklang Phittayakom	นางสาวอมรรัตน์ ทับทิม ทอง Ms. Amornrat Tubtimtong	นายกิตติธัช พิมอุบ Mr. Kittithat Phimub	นายจิรายุ ศรีสุวรรณ Mr. Chirayu Srisawan
10. ชาติตระการวิทยา Chattrakan Wittaya	นายคณนาถ พรหมรังฤทธิ์ Mr. Kananard Promrangrit	Mr. Kananard Promrangrit นายกรตะวัน ชูพุทธพงษ์	นายอดิเทพ ปานมงคล Mr. Atitep Panmongkol

Details of the Dialogue Derby 2026 Competition

Motion:

The Pax Americana Debate: Is U.S. Political and Economic Influence Good for the World Today?

Background of the Motion:

Pax Americana means the period of relative peace and stability in the world since the end of World War II (1945). Many people believe this happened because the United States became the world's strongest military and economic power.

Arguments FOR the Motion (America is Good for the World)

1. Keeps the world more secure

The U.S. helps prevent major wars through its military alliances and strong defense. Its presence has helped keep peace in places like Europe and East Asia. The U.S. often steps in to stop smaller conflicts from becoming bigger wars.

2. Supports global trade

The U.S. has helped build the modern global trading system. The U.S. dollar is the world's main currency for international trade. Systems like SWIFT make global banking and trade easier.

3. Leads in technology and innovation

Many world-changing companies are American, such as Apple, Google, Microsoft, Boeing, Caterpillar, and ExxonMobil. Their inventions and technology benefit people around the world.

4. Provides aid during crises

The U.S. is one of the world's largest providers of foreign aid. It often helps countries after natural disasters, wars, and humanitarian emergencies.

Arguments AGAINST the Motion (America is Not Good for the World)

1. Military actions can create instability

U.S. military interventions have sometimes led to long-term conflict. Examples include Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, and Vietnam. Critics say the U.S. acts like the world's "policeman."

2. Creates economic dependence

The global economy often depends heavily on the U.S. Decisions by the U.S. Federal Reserve can affect many countries. Some believe IMF loan conditions have hurt developing countries. Smaller economies may become too dependent on U.S. markets.

3. Influences other countries' decisions

The U.S. can pressure other governments through sanctions and trade restrictions. Sanctions on countries like Iran, Cuba, and Venezuela can also affect ordinary people. Smaller countries may feel forced to follow U.S. policies.

4. Dominates global culture

American movies, TV shows, and social media are popular worldwide. This can reduce the influence of local cultures. The dominance of English can also disadvantage non-English speakers.

5. Does not always apply global rules equally

Critics argue that powerful countries are treated differently from weaker ones. Some believe international rules are enforced unfairly

View on US world dominance as good or bad will differ depending on different countries and their relations with US with benefit and cost tradeoffs. Some may agree or disagree, but with different views and perspective.

Mechanics of the Debate

1. Format of Debate

1. This is a modified United Nation style Debate.
2. Each School represent a country or group of country as delegates
3. Your opinion and argument represent your country's (or group of country) policies and relationship with USA and NOT your personal opinion.

2. School - Country Assignment

Each school has been assigned a country or group of countries to represent. The assignment is randomly generated using AI for fairness.

No.	School	Assigned Country/Group
1.	NU Demonstration School	Iran
2.	Watbotsuksa School	European Union
3.	Kamphaengphet Pittayakom School	ASEAN
4.	Taphanhin School	Russia
5.	Nakhonsawan School	Israel
6.	Sawanananwittaya School	Africa
7.	Phichitpittayakom School	USA
8.	Phitsanulok Pittayakom	East Asia (Korea, Japan and Taiwan)
9.	Banklang Phittayakom School	Gulf States (UAE, Saudi, Bahrain, Qatar, Oman and Kuwait)
10.	Chattrakan Wittaya School	China

3. Code of Conduct

1. Contestants shall wear the uniform of his/her school for the Contest
2. Contestants shall register at least 15 minutes before the Contest
3. Contestants shall NOT use mobile phones, tables (or other electronic devices)
4. Paper sheets or cue cards for reference during the presentation is allowed. However, reading from the script is not encouraged and may be marked down by the judge at their discretion.
5. All speeches are in English medium only
6. Contestants are encouraged to be assertive with argument but expected to be respectful to opposition and audience
7. The judges' decisions are final

4. Assessment Rubric

Criterion

No.	Criterion	Score Weight
1.	Content & Idea	30
2.	Language Proficiency & Communication	25
3.	Organization & Delivery	25
4.	Presentation & Etiquette	20
	Total	100

Description of Criterion

No.	Criterion	Description
1.	Content & Idea	Relevance, originality, clarity, supporting ideas, depth of thought, and quality of supporting evidence.
2.	Language Proficiency & Communication	Grammar, vocabulary, sentence variety, voice, pronunciation, fluency, confidence, and pacing.
3.	Organization & Delivery	Logical structure, coherence, and effective introduction/conclusion, smooth transitions, articulation.
4.	Presentation & Etiquette	Eye contact, gestures, facial expressions, audience engagement, and time management, ability to capture and maintain audience interest and connection.

5. Time Allotment

	<i>Speaking Items</i>	<i>Time</i>
1.	Opening Remarks	5 Minutes
2.	Question (Judge panel & Audience)	2 Minutes
3.	Rebuttal & Closing Remarks	3 Minutes

6. Prizes and Awards

1. All contestant shall be awarded with Certificate
2. The Winner of the Contest shall be awarded 4,000 THB
3. The Runner up of the Contest shall awarded 3,000 THB
4. The Second Runner up of the Contest shall awarded 2,000 THB
5. Two Honorable Mentions from the contest shall be awarded 1,000 THB each

7. The Schedule

Date	16 July 2026
Venue	NUIC 309. 3rd Floor. NUIC. Naresuan University
Registration	12:30 – 13:00
Timeline of the Competition	13:00 hrs. – 16:30 hrs.
	13:00 Audience, Participants, Judges and Guests are seated
	13:15 MC welcomes audience and introduces program MC invites Director for address
	13:15 – 13:25 Address by Director
	13:30 – 13:40 Introduction of Judges by MC Introduction of Participants by MC Photo Opportunity
	13:40 MC invites Moderator of the Debate
The Debate	Moderator invites Speakers
	13:45 – 14: 45 Opening Remarks
INTERMISSION 1	14:45 - 15:00 Break
	Special presentation

Question hour	Moderator invites question
	15:05 – 15:25 Questions from judge panel
	15:25 – 15:30 Questions from audience
Closing Remarks & Rebuttals	15: 30 – 16:00 Moderator invites Speakers
INTERMISSION 2	16:00 – 16:15 Break/ Score Tally Special Presentation Academic Affairs
	16:15 – 16: 30 Remark and Result declaration cum Presentation by Chair of judge
	Photo Opportunity / Evaluation / Adjourn

Note: The exact schedule of time is subject to adjustment as situation may deem.

Contact Person:

Ms. Kanrada Apisethsopon

Academic Service

Office Phone: 055-968561

Mob: 080 519 9926

Email: kanradaa@nu.ac.th

Mr. Ringyaomi Shimray

Event Coordinator

Office Phone: 055-968518

Mob: 098 456 2168

Email: ringyaomip@nu.ac.th